UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/553,591	01/17/2006	Surachai Supattapone	DC0258US.NP	6069
²⁶²⁵⁹ LICATA & TY	7590 03/10/201 RRELL P.C.	EXAMINER		
66 E. MAIN ST		BABIC, CHRISTOPHER M		
MARLTON, N.	1 08033		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1637	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/10/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

poreilly@licataandtyrrell.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/553,591	SUPATTAPONE ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
CHRISTOPHER M. BABIC	1637		

	CHRISTOFFIER W. BABIC	1037							
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress						
THE REPLY FILED <u>01 March 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.									
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apple for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of A replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request						
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.								
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth i ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(Extensions of time may be obtained under 27 CER 4.126(a). The date	f).								
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex									
nave been like its tile date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding annualt of the lee. The appropriate extension lee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL									
NOTICE OF AFFEAL 2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be f	filed within two months	s of the date of						
filing the Notice of Appeal was filed of A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the							
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>									
3. 🛮 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, l			cause						
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co		E below);							
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	•								
(c) ☑ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or			ne issues for						
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.							
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1									
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (l	PTOL-324).						
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)									
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).	·	•	-						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prove The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of						
Claim(s) allowed:									
Claim(s) objected to:									
Claim(s) rejected: <u>6 and 7</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:									
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE									
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 									
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	ıl and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a						
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.						
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:						
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. Other:									
	/Christopher M Babic/								
	Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 1637							
	•								

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The newly added claims limitations that were not presented in previous prosecution (i.e. "mammalian RNA" and "RNAse sensitivty") that adjust the scope of the claimed invention. Such limitations require further examination.